

1(a)

①

$$P(X=z; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^z e^{-\lambda}}{z!} = \exp \left\{ z \log \lambda - \lambda - \log z! \right\}$$

The probability mass function is of the exponential family form with

natural parameter $\theta = \log \lambda$

natural statistic $S(x) = x$

cumulant transform $k(\theta) = e^\theta$

Hence the cumulant generating function of X is

$$K_X(t) = k(\theta+t) - k(\theta) = e^{\theta+t} - e^\theta = e^\theta (e^t - 1) = \lambda (e^t - 1)$$

1(b) By the properties of the cumulant generating function

$$K_Y(t) = K_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n K_{X_i}(t) = (e^t - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$$

Comparing the above expression with $K_X(t)$ in 1(a),

$$Y \sim \text{Poisson} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right)$$

1(c) Now, $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P(\lambda)$, $i=1, \dots, n$.

By the properties of the cumulant generating function

$$\begin{aligned} K_Z(t) &= K_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i / \sqrt{n\lambda}}(t) = -\sqrt{n\lambda} t + K_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(t / \sqrt{n\lambda}) \\ &= -\sqrt{n\lambda} t - n\lambda + n\lambda \exp\{t / \sqrt{n\lambda}\} \end{aligned}$$

Expanding $\exp\{t / \sqrt{n\lambda}\}$ around $t=0$ gives

$$K_Z(t) = \cancel{-\sqrt{n\lambda} t} - \cancel{n\lambda} + \left\{ \cancel{n\lambda} + n\lambda \frac{t}{\sqrt{n\lambda}} + n\lambda \frac{t^2}{2n\lambda} + O(n^{-1/2}) \right\}$$

$$\text{So } K_Z(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} + O(n^{-1/2}).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $K_Z(t) \rightarrow \frac{t^2}{2}$ which is the cumulant generating function of a ~~standard normal~~ $N(0,1)$ random variable.

2(a) Omitting any quantities that are constant wrt λ ⁽²⁾

$$\ell(\lambda) = \log \lambda \sum X_i - n\lambda, \text{ and so } U(\lambda) = \frac{d\ell(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = \frac{\sum X_i}{\lambda} - n$$

$$\text{Hence, } U(\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum X_i}{n}$$

We need to check that $\ell(\lambda)$ is maximized at $\hat{\lambda}$.

$$\frac{d^2\ell(\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} = -\frac{\sum X_i}{\lambda^2} \text{ and so } \left. \frac{d^2\ell(\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} \right|_{\lambda=\hat{\lambda}} = -\frac{n^2}{\sum X_i} < 0 \text{ } [X_i > 0]$$

So $\hat{\lambda}$ is the maximum likelihood estimator.

The expected information on λ is

$$i(\lambda) = E_{\lambda} \left\{ -\frac{d^2\ell(\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} \right\} = \frac{\sum E(X_i)}{\lambda^2} = \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda^2} = \frac{n}{\lambda}$$

2(b) $\hat{\theta} = \log \hat{\lambda} = \log \frac{\sum X_i}{n}$

$$\tilde{i}(\theta) = \left\{ \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} \right\}^2 i(e^{\theta}) = e^{2\theta} \frac{n}{e^{\theta}} = ne^{\theta}$$

$$\tilde{U}(\theta) = \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} U(e^{\theta}) = \frac{\sum X_i}{e^{\theta}} - ne^{\theta} = \sum X_i - ne^{\theta}$$

2(c)

Because θ is the natural parameter $\frac{d^2\tilde{\ell}(\theta)}{d\theta^2}$ does not depend on the data. Furthermore, by the Bartlett identity

$$\frac{d^2\tilde{\ell}(\theta)}{d\theta^2} = -\tilde{i}(\theta) = -ne^{\theta} \text{ and so}$$

$$\frac{d^3\tilde{\ell}(\theta)}{d\theta^3} = -ne^{\theta}. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$b(\theta) = \frac{E_{\theta} \left\{ \frac{d^3\tilde{\ell}(\theta)}{d\theta^3} \right\} + 2 E_{\theta} \left\{ \frac{d\tilde{\ell}(\theta)}{d\theta} \frac{d^2\tilde{\ell}(\theta)}{d\theta^2} \right\}}{2 \{ \tilde{i}(\theta) \}^2} = -\frac{ne^{\theta}}{2(ne^{\theta})^2} = -\frac{1}{2ne^{\theta}}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{oc} = \hat{\theta} - b(\hat{\theta}) = \log \frac{\sum X_i}{n} + \frac{1}{2\sum X_i}$$

3(a)

$$f_x(x; \mu, \lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^{-3/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda(x-\mu)^2}{2\mu^2 x}\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda x^2}{2\mu^2 x} + \frac{2\lambda x \mu}{2\mu^2 x} - \frac{\lambda \mu^2}{2\mu^2 x} - \frac{3}{2} \log x + \log \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2\mu^2} x - \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{x} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \log \lambda - \frac{3}{2} \log x - \log \sqrt{2\pi}\right\}$$

So $f_x(x; \mu, \lambda)$ is of the exponential family form with

natural parameters $\theta_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{2\mu^2}$, $\theta_2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2}$

natural statistics $s_1(x) = x$, $s_2(x) = 1/x$

cumulant transform $k(\theta_1, \theta_2) = -\frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \log \lambda$

$$= -\sqrt{4\theta_1\theta_2} - \frac{1}{2} \log(-2\theta_2)$$

3(b)

By the properties of exponential families

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(x, 1/x) &= \text{Cov}(s_1(x), s_2(x)) = \frac{\partial^2 k(\theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\theta_1\theta_2}} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting ~~for~~ $\theta_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{2\mu^2}$ and $\theta_2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2}$ gives

$$\text{Cov}(x, 1/x) = -\frac{\mu}{\lambda}$$

4(a) Denote $\lambda(\psi, \zeta)$ the parameter λ as a function of ψ, ζ ④
Then the log-likelihood on ψ and ζ is

$$l(\psi, \zeta) = s_1(\gamma) \theta_1(\psi) + s_2(\gamma) \theta_2(\lambda(\psi, \zeta)) - k(\theta_1(\psi), \theta_2(\lambda(\psi, \zeta))) + c(\gamma)$$

For ψ and ζ to be orthogonal $i_{\psi\zeta}(\psi, \zeta) = 0$, where $i_{\psi\zeta}$ is the component of the Fisher information matrix that corresponds to ψ and ζ .

The score function on ζ is

$$l_{\zeta}(\psi, \zeta) = \frac{\partial l(\psi, \zeta)}{\partial \zeta} = s_2(\gamma) \frac{\partial \theta_2(\lambda(\psi, \zeta))}{\partial \zeta} - \frac{\partial k(\theta_1(\psi), \theta_2(\lambda(\psi, \zeta)))}{\partial \zeta}$$

and so

$$l_{\psi\zeta}(\psi, \zeta) = \frac{\partial^2 l(\psi, \zeta)}{\partial \psi \partial \zeta} = s_2(\gamma) \frac{\partial^2 \theta_2(\lambda(\psi, \zeta))}{\partial \psi \partial \zeta} - \frac{\partial^2 k(\theta_1(\psi), \theta_2(\lambda(\psi, \zeta)))}{\partial \psi \partial \zeta}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\psi\zeta}(\psi, \zeta) &= E(-l_{\psi\zeta}(\psi, \zeta)) = \\ &= -E \left[\frac{\partial^2 \theta_2(\lambda(\psi, \zeta))}{\partial \psi \partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial^2 k(\theta_1(\psi), \theta_2(\lambda(\psi, \zeta)))}{\partial \psi \partial \zeta} \right] \end{aligned}$$

The above expression can be shown to be 0, by noting that $E(l_{\zeta}(\psi, \zeta)) = 0$ and calculating $\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} E(l_{\zeta}(\psi, \zeta))$.

4(b) $f_Y(y, \varphi, u) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\varphi} y + u \log y - n \log \varphi - \log \Gamma(u) - \log y \right\}$

So this is of the exponential family form with natural parameters $\theta_1 = u$, $\theta_2 = -1/\varphi$, natural statistics $s_1(y) = \log y$, $s_2(y) = y$.

Now,

$E(s_2(\gamma)) = E(Y) = n\varphi$. Hence, by 4(a), $n\varphi$ is orthogonal to u .

5(a)

(5)

The log-likelihood on μ, σ^2 (omitting any quantities that do not depend on μ and σ^2) is

$$l(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2}n \log \sigma^2 - \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

The maximum likelihood estimate of σ^2 for fixed μ is

$$\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2$$

[if you are not confident using the above result directly, you may verify it by solving $\partial l(\mu, \sigma^2) / \partial \sigma^2 = 0$]

Hence the profile likelihood on μ is

$$\begin{aligned} l_p(\mu) &= -\frac{1}{2}n \log \hat{\sigma}_\mu^2 - \frac{n}{2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}n \log \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{n} - \frac{1}{2}n \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}n \log (\hat{\sigma}^2 + (\mu - \hat{\mu})^2) - \frac{1}{2}n \end{aligned}$$

5(b) The approximate $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval based on $l_p(\mu)$ is $\left\{ \mu : 2(l_p(\hat{\mu}) - l_p(\mu)) \leq \chi_{1-\alpha}^2 \right\} =$

$$\left\{ \mu : n \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right\} \leq \chi_{1-\alpha}^2 \right\} =$$

$$\left\{ \mu : 1 + \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{\chi_{1-\alpha}^2}{n} \right\} \right\} =$$

\vdots

$$\left\{ \mu : \hat{\mu} - \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\exp \left\{ \frac{\chi_{1-\alpha}^2}{n} \right\} - 1} \leq \mu \leq \hat{\mu} + \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\exp \left\{ \frac{\chi_{1-\alpha}^2}{n} \right\} - 1} \right\}$$

6(a)

⑥

The likelihood ratio statistic for testing $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ is

$$w(\mu_0) = +2 \left\{ \ell(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) - \ell(\mu_0, \hat{\sigma}_{\mu_0}^2) \right\}$$

$$= 2 \left\{ \ell_p(\hat{\mu}) - \ell_p(\mu_0) \right\}$$

[see exercise 5]

$$= n \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{(\mu_0 - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right\}$$

where $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 / n$

$$\text{But } \frac{(\mu_0 - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} = \frac{(\bar{X} - \mu_0)^2}{\frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n}} = \frac{n(\bar{X} - \mu_0)^2}{(n-1) \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} = \frac{t^2}{n-1} \quad \square$$

Using the expansion $\log(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \dots$

$$w(\mu_0) = n \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{t^2}{n-1} \right\} = \frac{n}{n-1} t^2 - \frac{n}{2(n-1)^2} t^4 + O_p(n^{-2})$$

and so

$$E_{\mu_0}(w(\mu_0)) = \frac{n}{n-1} E(t^2) - \frac{n}{2(n-1)^2} E(t^4) + O(n^{-2})$$

$$\stackrel{(21)}{=} \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{n-1}{n-3} - \frac{n}{2(n-1)^2} \frac{3(n-1)^2}{(n-3)(n-5)} + O(n^{-2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-3/n} - \frac{3}{2n} \frac{1}{(1-3/n)(1-5/n)} + O(n^{-2})$$

$$\stackrel{(22)}{=} 1 + \frac{3}{n} - \frac{3}{2n} [1 + O(n^{-1})] + O(n^{-2})$$

$$= 1 + \frac{3}{2n}.$$

A Bartlett corrected version of $w(\mu_0)$ is

$$\tilde{w}(\mu_0) = \frac{w(\mu_0)}{1 + \frac{3}{2n}} = \frac{2nw(\mu_0)}{2n+3}$$